

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> <b>Application No.</b>  10/689,316 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> <b>Applicant(s)</b>  HILL ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> <b>Examiner</b>  PAUL S. HYUN </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> <b>Art Unit</b>  1797 </td> </tr> </table>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/689,316	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HILL ET AL.	<b>Examiner</b> PAUL S. HYUN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797
<b>Application No.</b> 10/689,316	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HILL ET AL.				
<b>Examiner</b> PAUL S. HYUN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797				

**All Participants:**

(1) PAUL S. HYUN.

(2) Harry Hild.

**Date of Interview:** 22 May 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2 PM EST

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

*Greenbaum et al. (US 2002/0102629 A1)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/Jill Warden/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview was initiated by the Examiner to facilitate the allowance of the claims. Specifically, claim 11 was amended via Examiner's Amendment so that the limitations directed towards the claimed electronics package is recited in a manner that structurally limits the claimed invention rather than reciting an intended use of the claimed electronics package. .